

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/721,404	11/25/2003	Takuya Tamatani	14539-004012	1646
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/17/2007 ARDSON PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			. OUSPENSKI, ILIA I	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1644	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	04/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/721,404 TAMATANI ET AL.

Defense the Filing of an Annual Brief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f			t or for the con-			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	ow);	•	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.				
		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below or appended.	$\Omega L \cap I$	C. 21			
Claim(s) allowed:		7 may 8	Monker			
Claim(s) objected to:		PHILLIP GAMBEL	, PH.D.J?			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>53-59,64-66 and 68-69</u> .		PRIMARY EXAM	INER			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		72400	4/16/07			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hefere or on the date of filing a					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		. ·			
	•					
	•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have not been found sufficiently convincing. Applicant's arguments and examiners response are essentially the same as of record.